Photocopies of Lost Manuscript Copies of the “Prologue Vita of Methodius”, Bishop of Great Moravia, in the Scientific Archive of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

Abstract: The paper begins with the historical facts related to the collection of Cyrillo-Methodian sources’ photocopies in the Scientific Archive of Bulgarian Academy of Sciences. It aims at identifying the original manuscript copies of some Prologue Vitae of Cyril and Methodius whose photocopies were preserved in this archive, at finding out their present location, and at checking the available data about them. Three such manuscripts are located in the National Scientific Library of Ukraine in L’viv, one in the Shevchenko Scientific Society and another one in the holdings of the L’viv National Library. The originals of eight other photocopies, however, have not been located and most probably no longer exist, which makes these photocopies the only evidence at present and thus a precious part of the Slavonic Cyrillo-Methodian heritage. The author of the article presents all the available information about them and publishes the transcripts of three of these photocopies.
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The following notes are closely linked to my work on the *Description of Copies of Slavonic Sources about Cyril and Methodius and Their Disciples* which was published in 2014. Meanwhile, CMRC and the Scientific Archive of BAS won the *Cyrillo-Methodian Cultural Heritage and its European and Bulgarian Dimensions* contest, and, consequently, the whole collection X of Slavonic manuscripts of the Scientific Archive of BAS was digitized together with part of collection V of microfilms and photocopies of Slavonic manuscripts whose main part are of microfilms and photocopies of certain Slavonic sources about Cyril and Methodius and their disciples.

It is worth explaining here how this crucial part of the collection of the Scientific Archive of BAS was gathered. On the 15th of September 1934, during the Fourth International Congress of Byzantine Studies in Sofia, and more precisely at the Byzantium and the Slavonic World Section, a commission was founded to include Miloš Weingart and František Dvorník from Prague, Valeriy Pogorelov from Bratislava, Illarion Sventsitskiy from L’viv, Milko Kos from Ljubljana, Mihail Popruzhenko and Stoyan Romanski from Sofia. The Commission issued the following resolution:

Dans la section «Byzance et le Monde slave» nous nous sommes occupés entre autre, vu les conférences magistrales de Messieurs Weingart et Pogorēlov, de la question d’une édition critique et commentée des sources concentrant la vie et l’œuvre des saints slaves Cyrille et Méthode. On connaît la grande importance que lesdites sources ont tant pour les études slaves que pour les études byzantines. Les relations byzantinoslaves trouvent sans doute leur plus belle manifestation dans l’œuvre des deux apôtres slaves. C’est pourquoi ladite section a accepté à l’unanimité de proposer au Congrès d’adopter la résolution suivante: Prenant en considération la manque d’une édition satisfaisante des sources sur la vie et l’œuvre des deux frères slaves Cyrille et Méthode également importante pour les études slaves et les études byzantines, le IVe Congrès international des études Byzantines à Sofia décide d’entreprendre une édition critique et commentée complete desdites sources dont l’élaboration sera confiée à une commission constituée comme suit: M. M. Weingart et Fr. Dvorník (Prague), V. Pogorēlov (Bratislava), M. Kos (Ljubljana), J. Svencickij (Léopol), M. Popruženko et St. Romansky (Sofia). Le Congrès espère que, s’assurant la collaboration de tous les savants qui peuvent lui être utiles dans cette œuvre, la Commission ainsi nommée pourra préparer cette édition jusqu’au congrès prochain et que l’Académie de sciences bulgare, qui a montré une si grande hospitalité au Congrès, en assumera la publication.

Faisant cette proposition du nom de la section «Byzance et le Monde slave» je ne doute pas qu’elle sera acceptée par le Congrès.

1 Б. Мирчева, Опис на преписите на славянските извори за Кирил и Методий и техните ученици: Кирило-Методиевски извори, Т. 2 (=“Кирило-Методиевски Студии”, Vol. 23), София 2014.
2 М.П. Попруженко, С. Романски, Библиографски преглед на славянските кирилски източници за живота и дейността на Кирила и Методия, София 1935, pp. 4–5.
The resolution was adopted unanimously and the Commission for Publishing the Sources on the Life and Activities of Cyril and Methodius had its first meeting on the very same day. The resolution was announced by S. Romanski two weeks later, at the Second International Congress of Slavists in Poland, and more precisely at its last plenary meeting in Krakow (September 30, 1934), and, once again, it was adopted unanimously. By decision of the Congress of Slavists, the Commission was enlarged with the following persons: Josef Weiss and Josef Kurtz from Prague, Stanislaw Słoński and Ivan Ohienko from Warsaw, Stepan Ivšić from Zagreb and Aleksandar Teodorov-Balan, Yordan Ivanov and Yurdan Trifonov from Sofia.

Already at the first meeting of the Commission, an opinion was formed that before proceeding with the specific work, it would first of all be necessary to create lists of the Slavonic, Greek, and Latin texts to be published and then to develop a plan for the edition. This is also the reason why very soon after, in July 1935, the Bibliographic overview of the Slavonic Cyrillic sources prepared by M. Popruzhenko and S. Romanski was issued under the umbrella of the Commission for Publishing the Sources on the Life and Activities of Cyril and Methodius at BAS. Its authors describe it as a list of the known, more or less accurately determined, manuscript copies of Slavonic sources written in Cyrillic script, with an indication of the works in which they were published or simply used, as well as of the repositories in which they were found.

The Bibliographic overview includes 175 manuscript copies of 21 Slavonic sources. Later, in 1956, Bonyo Angelov’s work was published under the title Slavonic Sources on Cyril and Methodius to continue the contribution of Popruzhenko and Romanski. Its first section contains information about 207 copies of various calendar notes, Prologue Vitae, parts of other hagiographic texts concerning the two Brothers of Thessalonica, etc. Simultaneously, an intensive gathering of photocopies of the respective manuscripts began, first by ordering their photocopies from the respective repositories.

With this paper, I would like to give attention to those photocopies of manuscript copies of the vitae of Cyrill and Methodius, which are kept at the Archive of BAS, whose current location and call number, despite my best efforts, remained unidentified – probably because their originals were irretrievably lost during or after World War II.

---

3 Ibidem, p. 5.
4 Ibidem
6 From Slavonic Prolog – the title of the introductory part was accepted as the title of the whole book in the Middle Ages (note of the translator).
A specific problem – known to any specialist in Cyrillo-Methodian studies – is related to the copies of the Synaxaria Vita of Methodius which were kept in the libraries of L’viv before WWII. This considerable number of manuscripts is partly not difficult to identify since they still have their old call numbers. Others, however, probably no longer exist.

I will first point out some of those photocopies available in the Scientific Archive of BAS whose originals I have managed to locate. Here follow the data about three transcripts of the Vita in the Anthony Petrushevych collection, now part of the holdings of Vasyl Stefanyk National Scientific Library of Ukraine in L’viv: 1. “Vita of Methodius” in a Prolog for April-May, end of sixteenth century, ACII 27 (NABAN_SB5_167F)⁷; 2. “Prologue Vita of Methodius” in a Prolog for April-July, end of sixteenth century, ACII 29 (NABAN_SB5_169F)⁸; and 3. “Prologue Vita of Methodius” in a Prolog for April-May, end of sixteenth century, ACII 79 (NABAN_SB5_170F)⁹. Another manuscript – from the collection of the Shevchenko Scientific Society – has kept its old call number, namely the “Vita of Methodius” in the Simple Prolog of a specific extended version, last quarter of seventeenth century, People’s Home in L’viv 239F (NABAN_SB5_164F)¹⁰. The collection at the Scientific Archive of BAS preserved also another copy of the “Prologue Vita of Methodius” – the one that originates from the Krechiv monastery (NABAN_SB5_162F). It is mentioned without any call number in B. Angelov’s bibliographic overview¹¹. Thanks to Marina Chistiakova’s study dedicated to the manuscript Prologs in the holdings of the L’viv National Library¹², I managed to identify this copy which is currently kept in f. 3 (manuscript collection of the Central Basilian Archive and Library) and has a new call number – No. MB 1263¹³.

Unfortunately, I have not been able to determine the current location of some other manuscript copies. These are mainly part of the manuscript collections of both the National Museum and the Stauropegial Museum in L’viv (in my Description these transcripts are No. 111 – No. 118 in the section of manuscripts with unidentified locations)¹⁴. In B. Angelov’s bibliographic study, the

---

⁷ Б. Мирчева, Опис..., p. 319, No. 41. The photocopies are cited with their call numbers from the digital repository.
¹³ Б. Мирчева, Опис..., p. 320, No. 46.
¹⁴ Ibidem, pp. 331–333.
manuscript copies of the “Prologue Vita of Methodius” are given with more complete data, even though without any call number, but there are also others with no additional information. For most of them, however, it has been specified that photocopies are available in the Scientific Archive of the BAS. After comparing the digitized materials from the repository with the information in the inventory book, I concluded that these manuscripts are indeed preserved in photocopies, filed, and described under the appropriate numbers. Some of them lack full descriptions – there are just references to Section 6 for them. The “Prologue Vita of Methodius” in Popruzhenko and Romanski’s Bibliographic overview is given with the respective page and number. The manuscripts listed in the print edition of the Bibliographic overview (pp. 42–43) are much fewer in number (5 in total) and the following note is added there:

Lavrov, in his edition of the Vita after the manuscript of the year 1406 (cf. No. 1) (the copy of Uspensky’s collection No. 3 – my note, B. Mirčeva), makes such references in the variants: Pogod. 602, 607 and No. 724, 1562 – Trinity Lavra (see Mat. XXXIII, XXXIV). More accurate information is needed concerning these manuscripts15.

The manuscript copies of the “Prologue Vita” which are mentioned in the note are identified and included in my Description – Pogodin No. 602 – on p. 315, No. 16, Pogodin No. 607 – on p. 329, No. 98 and Trinity No. 724 – on p. 317, No. 30. (The last number quoted in the Bibliographic Description – 1562 – is not a number of a manuscript but the year of the Trinity manuscript as pointed also by P. Lavrov)16.

Vitally important for the identification of some of the other manuscripts is the presence of two printed copies of Popruzhenko and Romanski’s Bibliographic overview in Collection V (No. 181) on the pages of which clarifications and additions have been made in pencil. In the section on the “Prologue Vita of Methodius” in one of these copies, such a pencil addition is made about certain new 14 manuscripts with consecutive numbering (from No. 7 to No. 20), No. 6 is missing (probably the quoted remark refers to it although no number is written against it). For some manuscripts, there is a note in blue pencil, “phot. BAS”, which indicates that there is a photocopy of them in the Scientific Archive of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences. Among these 14 manuscripts, there are the already mentioned three identified copies from the Petrushchevych collection and the one from the Shevchenko Scientific Society.

My comparison of the archival units with the printed copy containing handwritten additions proves that the copies of the “Prologue Vita of Methodius”,

15 М. Попруженко, С. Романски, Библиографски преглед..., р. 43.
16 П. Лавров, Материалы по истории возникновения древнейшей славянской письменности, Ленинград 1930, р. XXXIV.
whose current location is unknown but are kept as photocopies in the Scientific Archive of BAS, are 8:


2. “Prologue Vita of Methodius”, L’viv, National Museum, sixteenth century, No. 336F (NABAN_SB5_159F) with reference to No. 9 and note “Phot. BAS”.


4. “Prologue Vita of Methodius” (NABAN_SB5_165F), L’viv, Basilian Monastery Collection, No. 27 (14). Prolog for March-August. Russian copy of the sixteenth-seventeenth century, ff. 151v–152r (under 11 May). It is described by Jarosław Hordynski17. Chistiakova does not mention such a call number in her study18.

5. “Vita of Methodius” (NABAN_SB5_166F) in a “Prolog of the Basilian monastery” No. 63 (47) F. Chistiakova does not mention such a call number in her study19. On the photocopies of the last two manuscripts, the stamp of the Central Basilian Archive and the Library of L’viv is seen.

6. A more specific case is No. 6 – “Prologue Vita of Methodius” under 11th May (with reference to the Bibliographic overview, No. 13, p. 43) (NABAN_SB5_163F). In the handwritten notes under No. 13, it is only written: “Prolog Vita of Methodius”, seventeenth century – the second line is deleted, so, unfortunately, there is no data about the repository holding this manuscript and about its call number.

7. “Prologue Vita of Methodius”, seventeenth century under 11th May (NABAN_SB5_161F) (Bibl. Overview No. 11, p. 43) in a Prolog of the Zhovkva monastery (by L’viv) of the seventeenth century. Just the former repository of this manuscript is known.

8. NABAN_SB5_158F, Prologue Vita in L’viv, 1634, Stauropegial Museum No. 110 (88). On the envelope of the photocopies, it is marked as “Prolog Vita of Cyrill and Methodius” and a reference is made to p. 43 in the Bibliographic overview, where Popruzenko and Romanski list the copies of the “Prologue Vita of Methodius”. In the inventory book,

---

17 Я. Гордийський, Рукописи бібліотеки монастиря св. Онуфрія ЧСВВ. у Львові, “Записки Чина Св. Василя Великого” 1924, Vol. 1, No. 1–2, p. 446.
18 М. Чистякова, Рукописные прологи..., pp. 355–361.
19 Ibidem.
however, the text is determined as “Prolog Vita of Methodius” of 1634 (L’viv Stauropegial Museum No. 110 (88), 12th May), i.e. only the inscription on the envelope with the photocopies is wrong.

The manuscripts from the holdings of the Stauropegial Museum in L’viv are unfortunately unknown to me so far. During my work, I found that they might be lost during World War II or kept under new call numbers. It is also plausible that they entered other archives – the Central State Historical Archive of Ukraine in L’viv, f. 201 (collection of the Greek-Catholic Metropolitan Consistory) or another manuscript fund of the L’viv Scientific Library20.

Whatever the fate of these original manuscripts of the “Prologue Vita of Methodius”, all their photocopies are preserved in the Scientific Archives of BAS and have not lost their value for the scholarship. None of them has been published but many were used in various studies, especially by Svetlina Nikolova21.

In order to prove that, despite all the unclear points, these photocopies can be used for research or at least studied in view of the text contained in them, I will give the following example. In the Scientific Archive of BAS, there is a photocopy of a manuscript marked as “Prologue Vita of Cyril and Methodius” and given the number 182 (NABAN_SB5_182F) and call number in the collection of the National Museum in L’viv – 121Q22. The study of the text of the photocopy gives us ground to conclude that this is not a transcript of the “Common Vita” found in Prologs but rather a hagiographic text about the two Brothers of Thessalonica, which probably originated much later and which was photographed only fragmentary.

There is unfortunately no information about another important manuscript copy which is also marked as a transcript of the “Common Prolog Vita of Cyril and Methodius” – the one in the collection of the People’s Home in L’viv, No. 322, seventeenth century23. Its photocopy is not yet discovered in the Scientific Archive of BAS and M. Chistiakova does not mention a manuscript with such a number.

---

22 Б.С. Ангелов, Славянски извори..., p. 192, No. 4. Сф. Б. Мирчева, Опис..., p. 235, No. 4.
23 It is mentioned in: Б.С. Ангелов, Славянски извори..., p. 192, No. 5.
The absence in most recent studies of some of the manuscripts with unknown locations cited here leads me to the conclusion that some of the originals have probably been lost. Bearing in mind the way these materials were collected in the Scientific Archive of BAS, namely the creation of a collection of photocopies and microfilms thematically focused on the Cyrillic-Methodian sources, unique in its kind worldwide, it may turn out that these are the only evidence of their existence. Regardless of whether their originals are discovered, these photocopies have to be considered and should take their rightful place among the other Slavonic Cyrillic-Methodian sources.

Il. 1. “Prologue Vita of Methodius”, L’viv, National Museum No. 159F, sixteenth century (NABAN_SB5_157F). Photocopies from the Scientific Archive of BAS
In the interest of future research, I am publishing here my transcripts of the photocopies of three manuscript copies of the “Prologue Vita of Methodius” as follows: No. 1. “Prologue Vita of Methodius”, L’viv, National Museum No. 159F, sixteenth century (NABAN_SB5_157F), No. 2. “Prologue Vita of Methodius”, L’viv, National Museum No. 336F, sixteenth century (NABAN_SB5_159F) и No. 3. “Prologue Vita of Methodius”, L’viv, National Museum No. 31F, seventeenth century (NABAN_SB5_160F). I also append the photocopies from the Scientific Archive of BAS.

Il. 2. “Prologue Vita of Methodius”, L’viv, National Museum No. 336F, sixteenth century (NABAN_SB5_159F). Photocopies from the Scientific Archive of BAS

When transmitting the original, the following editing principles are observed: the text is transmitted line-by-line, introducing line numbering and following the graphic, spelling and punctuation features of the original.
Il. 3. “Prologue Vita of Methodius”, L’viv, National Museum No. 31F, seventeenth century (NABAN_SB5_160F). Photocopies from the Scientific Archive of BAS.
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Translated by Ekaterina Dikova

APPENDIX No. 1
“Prologue Vita of Methodius”,
L’viv, National Museum No. 336F, 16th century
(NABAN_SB5_159F)

f. 1v

1. Εις τῷ ἄνηλ. ὡς ἡ μεθεδήθ
2. ὅπως μεθαλκόγαρτ
3. Προφήτης ὅμως μεθεδήθ ἢ ἐκ σβέδ
4. ὡς γράδα σαλβικάρατ ὡς σαλοβίτης ἡ ἐκ-κράτος
5. γὰτος σημείωσα φέρά τὰ ὅμως λαίκά
6. εἰς τῷ ἐμπρός ἢ ἐκ ἴδια ἡ ὕστορν ἐμπρό
7. οὐ καθαρῷ κρίσικ εἶναι τὸ μυστήματοσ ζηνά
8. въ церкви • ылѣмѣласѣ его цѣль вѣсегда прѣ
9. сево • едва вый • къ, лѣть • и постави
10. егда кнѧже въ славѣнѣхъ; пребы тѣмо •
11. • аѣ • ызвыве ызыкъ славанскъ • помыл
12. санть скороизмѣнію житіи вѣкъ сего •
13. й доѣдѣ грушкины мнѣ • оѣмлало са въ стрѣ
14. ыжны • положиынь сань кнѣ прѣ церемъ •
15. шѣ на алщуѣтыскію (!) гоѣу н вы кнѣнѣ • нѣ
16. когдѣ послѣ цѣ брѣ егда кнѣла (!) въ кѣдѣы (!) •
17. да прѣпѣ жидалы • ыждѣнѣ а вѣ земало нихъ •
18. вѣ хѣлко ѣходре • жидалѣскію прѣлѣлъ
19. вѣрѣ • кнѣла же оѣмлалъ брѣтла своегод •
20. мѣфедѣлъ • нѣтъ са севою иѣко славскій

f. 2r

1. ызыкъ • ыѣмѣлоста же вѣдоста же вѣдоста зѣ
2. мѣло тѣ • хѣло соѣло вѣдоста же вѣдоста зѣ
3. ста • и жыды прорѣнѣста • просѣлѣхо жѣ
4. славанѣ крѣнѣла • н ѣходре оѣулѣл • и мѣ
5. рѣкванѣ епѣла • а вѣлѣре настѣнѣла •
6. вѣторатѣнѣшъ же са мѣфедѣлъ вѣстѣанѣ
7. гру • Патрѣбѣлъ же стѣнѣ егда епѣла • козѣ
8. вѣтѣ въ моѣдѣ вѣдоста же вѣдоста зѣ • и тѣ мѣгѣ
9. сѣтѣрѣ уѣдѣса • замѣрѣн жѣ нѣкѣтѣ рѣдѣ
10. козѣрѣнѣ • а вѣпѣдѣ ерѣтѣлъ • нѣдѣ прѣ
11. тѣнѣлъ са мѣфедѣлъ хѣла вѣрѣ хѣло • й
12. покѣлъ кнѧже моѣрѣскѣлъ • быѣнѣ сѣлѣнѣ
13. й сѣлѣ са жидалѣ сѣ зѣдѣрѣлъ • еѣ • моѣ
14. мѣфедѣлъ же нѣдѣнѣ прѣдѣ послѣ нихъ • иѣко
15. вѣлѣлъ са хѣлаѣн на стѣнѣ вѣрѣ хѣло •
16. мѣфедѣлъ же иѣко нѣдѣценѣ борецѣ • прѣлѣ
17. сѣлѣлъ й аѣлѣскѣлъ сѣлѣсь • иѣко сла
18. вѣнѣ вѣнѣлъ (!) на вѣлѣ рѣѣ стрѣлѣлъ на
19. жыдаи • и на ерѣтѣлъ • иѣко дѣпѣ погѣлѣл
20. ѡѣ • иѣко прѣпѣлъ бѣлѣла вѣ мѣфедѣлъ •
21. н сѣтѣрѣ са уѣлѣло вѣлѣло • замѣрѣн жѣ рѣ
22. сѣлѣ са • а зѣдѣлѣлѣ пожѣ зѣдѣлѣ • нѣ вѣ
23. просѣлѣ вѣлѣо вѣлѣлѣлѣ • и тѣко рѣѣлѣ
24. гѣша са • радѣ же бѣлѣ хѣлѣлѣе и нѣдѣлѣ
25. сѣлѣлѣлъ ѡѣла • пожѣлѣ же вѣ стѣлѣлѣ
26. кѣ гѣу ѡѣлѣ • "
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APPENDIX No. 2
“Prologue Vita of Methodius”,
L’viv, National Museum, No. 159F, 16th century
(NABAN_SB5_157F)

f. 1r
1. Въ
2. тѣ День память прпѣбнаго ѡца наше-мфедїа євпріїй вѣ вѣ грѣ селѣвуска
3. нѣ въ саовінтовъ и богатѣй родителью ро са • ѡца
4. прпѣбнаго ѡца нашу мѣфедїй вѣ вѣ грѣ селѣвуска
5. нѣ въ саовінтовъ и богатѣй родителью ро са • ѡца
6. лва нѣ ѣтере мрѣн • вѣ же нѣ ѥности ѡнѣша мрѣ
7. нѣ нѣміе крѣпіо • ѡнае вѣ ѡпращ • имѣше нѣ ѡпра
8. прѣ совершъ Бѣсѣдъ • егда бы вѣ дѣдесато нѣ по-
9. стави нѣ клевемъ вѣ словѣнѣхъ нѣ прѣвѣсть
10. тамѣ вѣять • нѣвѣять вѣлѣки словѣнъ-
11. сконъ нѣ помыкѣнъ скоромѣнѣчес жи-
12. тѣ вѣка сего нѣ долѣге прѣвѣнѣхъ вѣ-
13. увиѣ • нѣ вѣминъ са вѣ страхѣ вожѣ

f. 1v
1. положи вѣ сань клѣянѣ прѣ прѣмь щѣ на вѣнѣскѣ (!)
2. грошѣ нѣ вѣхъ (!) мнѣнѣ • нѣвѣкогда же посла ѡпра бра-
3. та его кърѣла (!) въ козарѣ • да прѣпирѣнъ жиѣдѣ нѣ-
4. нѣть вѣлѣ вѣмѣлъ • вѣхъ во козарѣ жиѣвѣскѣ
5. вѣра прѣлѣлъ • нѣ куторѣ же омѣлен брата • его же-
6. мѣфедїа нѣтъ со сдобж ѣако омѣлѣнда вѣлѣкъ словѣ-
7. скѣ • вѣльѣдоста же вѣскѣ страноц тао • ѣако сна-
8. же вѣлѣ вѣска людѣ ѣѣмѣнѣчѣ жиѣда прѣвѣнѣста
9. просаходу же словѣнѣ прѣвѣнѣлѣ • а козарѣ ом-
10. мѣфедїа • жиѣдоста вѣмѣлъ • а вѣлѣгъра на-
11. ставнѣка • нѣ вѣзрѣтнѣвиже ѣа мѣфедѣзѣ
12. вѣ костантины градѣ • патрѣрѣхѣ же ѣѣн
13. егъ епѣлъ • нѣ тао много сътворѣцѣ упѣдоцѣ
14. зѣмѣнѣ же нѣкто родѣмъ козарѣнъ • а вѣ-
15. рѣкѣ ѣрѣтнѣ • нѣ науа прѣвѣнѣтъ ѣа мѣфедѣзѣ
16. хѣлѣ (!) хѣлѣ вѣрѣ • нѣ покалѣ клѣянъ мѣфедѣскѣмъ ви-
17. тѣ съборѣ • нѣ съборъ ѣа жиѣвѣ ѣѣмѣнѣ еѣ
18. мѣ • мѣфедѣлѣ же ѣѣднѣ прѣлѣдѣ посреди нѣ ѣако
19. ѣѣмѣщепъ ѣа сѣдѣлѣмъ на сѣдѣлѣ вѣрѣ • мѣ-
20. мѣфедѣлѣ же ѣако ѣѣдѣнѣ вѣрѣ прѣвѣнѣческѣ
APPENDIX No. 3
“Prologue Vita of Methodius”,
L’viv, National Museum No. 31F, 17th century
(NABAN_SB5_16oF)

f. 177v
1. Е’ той же Δήμ., Πάμ. прі̣βнаго ўцъше н’шего
2. мефо̣діѧ’, ипнана моравъскогѡ жин;
3. роки ѐжого, сїн го;
4. Преп̣ѣнный ўцъ’ н’шъ Мефо̣дій, р’дъ бывать
5. ъ мѣсть салѣнъ; шалѣтѣнъ • н бого̣ты р’дъ
6. є; оўродъ сѫ, щ ѓца а’єд, н матер є мѣрнѣ;
7. бывать ъ младостъ, младѣнѣ, м’дрын, н съ [...],
8. т’лымъ; а’є [.]. м’кий былъ т’лымъ, младѣнѣ;
9. Прето ъмлѣнный былъ к’лымъ • Ѧ цѣсаръ, касиаѣ
10. македонъ, нмѣлъ єгѡ цѣсаръ прѣ̣въ своімъ;
11. а Ѣд’ ємъ былъ, л’єтъ, к [.]. поставна єгѡ ксіо̣́
12. жѣценѣмъ на словѣіны; ѓ прожиъ на т’мъ п’а̣
13. стѣ въ десѧ л’єтъ; н наоўна сѫ д’бръ словѣн’ско̣
14. го єдѣка; н Пог’лъ ємнѣлѣтъ; ѡ є гроєв’ стѣ̣
15. тналъ слова, ђ богоѣтство, нестатѣнаѧ, н мнѣнъ
16. цд’лъ, рѣъ є; н д’олгѧ мѣкѧ грѣшны вонѣ̣
17. цд.: н єѣ;

f. 178r
1. н єъмнѣвенъ отарътъ сѫ С’ єпнѣнъ, злож’нѣнъ,
2. ѓ сеє прѣ цѢсаремъ, ксіо̣жъсіенъ сѧнъ; н нео̣
3. вшъ на Слѣмѣнѣскъ городъ, н Інокъ зотѣ;
4. брѧта же єгѡ роконгѡ крѣлѧ, послаѧ,
5. цѣсаръ в’ козарѣ, а бы днѣствовала сѫ з’ жнѣдѧ-
6. мнъ; бо козарѣ в’брѣ жнѣдѣскъ на т’й уѧсь
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7. был принадлежал; Кирша ж трудный, упорно
8. и братья своего Мефодий стыг, на его праця,
9. а были ей помощником были; а то да, то и
10. же Мефодий барцы добртельно словенски
11. жезл; и священник сие строение тво мозг,
12. хвост; крёц слова на до вёра хвили принадлеж
13. а жил и преу чекиптировавши выглядал;
14. тогда всев словене просили крещение, а козарь
15. всев утешал; а моравляне просили, сё епиа; а
16. болгаре, сё приводцы; зды твое посля оттыв
17. ищешь — сын кирша, и Мефодий, укрепивший
18. верхов ся, до костяна тёнограда; патриарх'ю,
19. константиноградский, Мефодий, постивший,
20. на епископство, посадень до мрать, на ко то
21. фамиль, сё рады, добрый же по божку жих;
22. рова свои пристойное справовал; и много вел
23. святоверя; Пото щемлений вёхто редо
24. козарь, а крёц грешник (!), позал спрот
25. атный ся стыг Мефодий, владычну вёрх хвя
26. петро показала жна миравский, свя северов —
27. бол; на которые, зверье ся, щам врием жих
28. дожек, два многон; а Мефодий, с киршаом'
29. брать своеи и между ны — огноскок; Гды ве
30. аникий,

f. 178v
1. болгянънывка на стою вёрх, всё позал
2. мовит; — тогда стыг Мефодий о киршом'
3. иконы стыг лето, птицмль, и апскир
4. млн писалъ пернкованъ ны; на ци по пенъра
5. ся наукъ своей, вездозъялёт, показалъдо;
6. жи щам врий росчя ся; а сядна слава помощя
7. ныка ей, щемли пожерда, а иныъны этгь,
8. попалътъ; а многи жилды преу покътвка
9. ли, а христяние, в вёрх потвердъ дай ся; по
10. тё Мефодий, в старость дорож, преставила ся
11. съ хьте, квёлъ, ныю; емъ же сад ся соцъ, н